

Procedure of paper reviewing

Manuscripts submitted for publication will be pre-reviewed by the editorial staff and the reviewers. The reviewers will be chosen from among recognized academics and scientists familiar with the thematic field of a paper. Two models of relation between an author and a reviewer can appear: (1) a double-blind review process - the author and the reviewer will be anonymous to each other, (2) model in which a reviewer must sign a declaration stating lack of conflict of interest. A conflict of interest should be understood as one of the following: - direct personal relation between the two (family relationship, legal relationship), - reporting relationship, - a direct scientific cooperation within two years prior to reviewing process.

The reviewers are requested to consider the following problems:

- the paper represents scientific level and contains new ideas,
- the research methods are correct and properly described (article must have the "methodology" and "results" sections),
- the analysis and synthesis of results are proper,
- used statistical approaches are correct and sufficient,
- conclusions are credible and resulted from research presented in the paper,
- language correctness of paper, sufficiency of figures and tables,
- whether the summary contains the description of methods applied and drawing conclusions,
- bibliography sufficiency,
- whether the paper had been already published in the same or similar form.

Names of the reviewers of papers in particular issue are not disclosed; the Journal publishes a list of reviewers annually. Review must be prepared in written form and must include a definite conclusion whether the paper should be published. Authors receive the review content independently of whether conclusion is negative or positive. In case the latter, authors receive both the review and their papers with reviewer corrections. When paper has many authors only the first one gets the documents. The author is requested to correct the paper according to reviewer's recommendations. The papers rejected by reviewers are sent to the authors. In this case there is no possibility to correct the paper and submit to publish again.